# Online Appendix for: UNIVERSAL INVESTMENT IN INFANTS AND LONG-RUN HEALTH: EVIDENCE FROM DENMARK'S 1937 HOME VISITING PROGRAM

Jonas Hjort Mikkel Sølvsten Miriam Wüst\*

October 24, 2016

### A Data Sources and Data Structure

#### A.1 Diagnoses for Medical Conditions Data

The health data comes from the Danish Inpatient Register and the Danish Death Register for 1980-2012. The Inpatient Register uses ICD 8 coding until 1993 and ICD 10 coding from 1994 onwards.

If an individual uses the Danish hospital system, we observe diagnoses and hospitalizations: Individuals have to be hospitalized (1980–1993) or have at least one outpatient visit (1994–2012) to appear in the diagnosis data. While we thus may not capture minor health conditions, the hospitalization data most likely contains clinically relevant diagnoses. As health care is publicly funded and universally accessible, our health measures are well suited for capturing the underlying prevalence of health conditions in the population.

#### ICD 8 codes for diagnoses groups:

• Diabetes: 249, 250

<sup>\*</sup>Hjort: Columbia University, Columbia Business School, 3022 Broadway, Uris Hall 622, New York, NY 10027, hjort@columbia.edu; Sølvsten: UC Berkeley, 530 Evans Hall, Berkeley, CA 94720, mikkel@econ.berkeley.edu; Wüst: corresponding author, SFI–The Danish National Center for Social Research, Herluf Trolles Gade 11, 1052 Copenhagen, Denmark, miw@sfi.dk

Wüst gratefully acknowledges financial support from the Danish Council for Independent Research (grant 11-116669).

- Cardiovascular Disease: 390–458
- Heart disease: 410–414

#### ICD 10 codes for diagnoses groups:

- Diabetes: DE10–DE14
- Cardiovascular Disease: DI00–DI99
- Heart disease: DI20–DI25

The causes of death are grouped according to the 23 groups used by the Danish National Board of Health. We merge groups 20-23 and 12-13 (the ICD 8 system does not distinguish between these last two).

#### Causes of death:

- 1 Infection: A00–B99, 000–136
- 2 Cancer: C00–C97, 140–209
- 3 Other cancer: D00–D48, 210–239
- 4 Blood and bloodforming organs: D50-D89, 280-289
- 5 Endocrine, metabolic disease: E00-E90, 240-246, 250-279
- 6 Mental disorders: F03-F99, 290-315
- 7 Nervous system: G00-G31, 320-389
- 8 Heart disease: G35-H95, I00-I25, I27, I30-I51, 390-398, 400-404, 410-414, 420-429
- 9 Other cardiovascular disease: I26, I28, I60-I99, 430-438, 440-448, 450-458
- 10 Respiratory system: J00-J99, 460-474, 480-486, 490-493, 500-519
- 11 Digestive system: K00-K92, 520-577
- 12, 13 Skin, musculosceletal system, connecting tissue: L00-L99, M00-M99, 680-738
- 14 Genitourinary system: N00-N98, 580-629
- 15 Pregnancy and childbirth: O00-O99, 630-678

- 16 Perinatal period: P00-P96, 760-779
- 17 Congential disease: Q00-Q99, 746-759
- 18 Symtoms not elsewhere classified: R00-R98, R99, 780-793, 795-796
- 19 Accidents: V01-X59, Y40-Y86, Y88, E80-E94
- 20 Suicide, murder, legal interventions: X60-X99, Y00-Y36, Y89, R99, E95-E99

#### A.2 Missing Observations

While we have a uniquely high match of cohort members with available outcome data to their municipality of birth and its treatment status, we do not observe individuals who die or leave Denmark before the year 1980. Thus we have left censoring in our data and the censoring is effective at different ages for individuals in different cohorts: Individuals from the 1935 cohort must survive until age 45 to be in our data while individuals from the 1949 cohort enter the data if they survive until age 31 (in the year 1980). This left censoring may especially be influential for our analysis of survival outcomes. Thus we implement a joint point of left censoring at age 45 in our main analyses (i.e., we only study individuals who survive until age 45). We thereby secure that all individuals enter and live through the same risk period.

If treated and untreated individuals selectively die or emigrate before age 45, this selection could confound our analysis. We perfom two sets of analyses to address this issue: First, as we cannot observe the number of births per municipality, we use aggregated statistics on live births and infant deaths from the Medical Reports of Denmark to examine how many individuals we miss in our data of survivors until age 45 per cohort. Second, we use the data on our younger cohorts (born 1940-49) to study mortality at earlier ages (age 40-45).

Number of live births and number of observations in our data If untreated individuals died at an increased rate between age 1–45, we would underestimate the program's effects on adult mortality, and we should see a decreasing percentage of missing observations for subsequent birth cohorts (because subsequent cohorts contain increasing shares of treated municipalities/individuals). We show that the number of missing observations is relatively stable across birth cohorts, with a small tendency towards fewer

missing observations in later cohorts. Figure A.1 plots the number of firstyear survivors (live births - first year mortality) and the number of individuals that we observe in our register data (including the ones with unvalid parish codes). The figure shows that we lack around 5–10 percent of Danishborn first-year survivors in each cohort. Appendix Table A.1 contains the national figures.

As weaker infants most likely survived in treated municipalities, we may also expect a compositional change of the population of treated survivors that may attenuate our findings. Wüst (2012) has estimated that at the mean infant survival rate of the time, 5-8 additional infants per 1000 live births survived in treated municipalities. We argue that at the average cohort size of around 65,000, this number of around 325-520 additional survivors for each cohort should not drive our results. If these additional infants were drawn from the lower end of the infant health distribution, the population of treated individuals that we later observe may be negatively selected (relative to the population from untreated municipalities). Consequently, we may underestimate the long-run benefits of the program, and we should interpret our findings as lower bounds. To test for the impact of additionally surviving infants on our conclusions we have performed analyses that omit the bottom percentile of individuals in our sample (according to their income). Our results are not impacted by this omission (further results are available from the authors).

Mortality at younger ages (40-45) for the cohorts 1940-1949 Appendix Figure A.2 presents results for an analysis of survival beyond ages 40-45 for the cohorts 1940-49. Appendix Table A.2 presents the respective estimates for survival past ages 40-45. As the Figure and the Table show, the survival gains of the home visiting program materialize at later ages and are not present for earlier ages. Thus we do not think that selective mortality between ages 1-45 biases our estimates.

ercent miss.: $(1)-(2)$ vs $(3)$ (5)	.1006783	.0948907	.0845122	.0867164	.0766621	.0830731	.0693902	.0719977	.0746841	.0665107	.0637656	.0544148	.0527362	.0501837	.0465391	.0717836
Valid parish P (4)	47480	48759	49820	50852	51052	52450	54483	61105	64585	70237	73967	75381	71902	67579	63107	60183.93
Obs. in register data (3)	54489	56067	57662	58852	59065	61071	62711	70350	74549	80604	84703	86713	83363	77827	73570	69439.73
Infant deaths (2)	4634	4473	4455	4022	3945	3517	3919	3737	3780	4322	4590	4408	3710	2999	2758	3951.267
Live births (1)	65223	66418	67440	68462	67914	70121	71306	79545	84346	90669	95062	96111	91714	84938	79919	78612.53
Birth cohort	1935	1936	1937	1938	1939	1940	1941	1942	1943	1944	1945	1946	1947	1948	1949	Total

Table A.1: Missing observations, 1935-1949.

*Notes:* Columns (1) and (2) are national aggregate statistics for the number of life births and infant deaths for the given cohorts. Columns (3) and (4) show the number of observations in our full and valid-parish samples. Column (5) shows the percentage of observations that are missing when we compare columns (1) and (3) and account for Source: Authors' calculations from administrative data and the Medical Reports for the Kingdom of Denmark. first year mortality (*Live births - first year mortality*).

Figure A.1: Number of observations (including individuals with no valid parish information but born in DK) in register data and cohort size (1935-1949).



*Notes:* Figure based on data from Appendix Table A.1. *Source:* Authors' calculations from administrative data.





Notes: The figure plots estimates and 95% confidence intervals from separate regressions of probability of survival beyond the given age on treatment status and year and municipality fixed effects. We include only the cohorts 1940-1949 as they have aged through the risk period and we only look at deaths that occur between ages 40 and 45 (i.e. we can observe all relevant death events in our post-1980 data). Standard errors are clustered at the municipality level.

Outcome	(1) (All)	(2) (All)	(3) (All)	(4) (Matched)	(5) (Ever impl.)
Survival until age 40	-0.036 (0.061)	-0.056 (0.093)	-0.059 (0.064)	0.048 (0.131)	-0.045 (0.073)
Mean of dep. var. $\times$ 100 No. of obs.	$99.490 \\ 13404$	$99.490 \\ 13404$	$99.490 \\ 13404$	$99.516 \\ 3216$	$99.443 \\ 3865$
Survival until age 41	-0.032 (0.070)	-0.024 (0.102)	-0.066 (0.073)	$0.049 \\ (0.144)$	-0.049 (0.086)
Mean of dep. var. $\times$ 100 No. of obs.	$99.328 \\ 13404$	$99.328 \\ 13404$	$99.328 \\ 13404$	$99.370 \\ 3216$	$99.265\ 3865$
Survival until age 42	-0.100 (0.077)	0.010 (0.113)	-0.106 (0.081)	-0.040 (0.153)	-0.067 (0.094)
Mean of dep. var. $\times$ 100 No. of obs.	$99.147 \\ 13404$	$99.147 \\ 13404$	$99.147 \\ 13404$	$99.196 \\ 3216$	$99.065\ 3865$
Survival until age 43	-0.073 (0.089)	-0.023 (0.125)	-0.068 (0.091)	0.061 (0.175)	-0.068 (0.107)
Mean of dep. var. $\times$ 100 No. of obs.	$98.937 \\ 13404$	$98.937 \\ 13404$	$98.937 \\ 13404$	$99.002 \\ 3216$	$98.844 \\ 3865$
Survival until age 44	-0.139 (0.091)	-0.116 (0.133)	-0.137 (0.094)	$0.073 \\ (0.199)$	-0.170 (0.112)
Mean of dep. var. $\times$ 100 No. of obs.	$98.701 \\ 13404$	$98.701 \\ 13404$	$98.701 \\ 13404$	$98.792 \\ 3216$	$98.589 \\ 3865$
Survival until age 45	-0.144 (0.096)	-0.081 (0.153)	$-0.174^{*}$ (0.105)	$0.104 \\ (0.221)$	$-0.218^{*}$ (0.127)
Mean of dep. var. $\times$ 100 No. of obs.	$98.445 \\ 13404$	$98.445 \\ 13404$	$98.445 \\ 13404$	$98.545 \\ 3216$	$98.322 \\ 3865$
Cohort FE Muncipal:	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
$ \begin{array}{c} \text{FE} \\ \text{X (level)} \times \text{ year interactions} \end{array} $	Yes No	Yes No	Yes Yes	Yes No	Yes Yes
X (trend) $\times$ year interactions Linear time trends	No No	No Yes	Yes No	No No	Yes No

Table A.2: Scaled coefficients for the effect of the home visiting program on survival, cohorts 1940–1949.

Notes: Each cell presents the coefficient for the treatment indicator for a different regression. All means and coefficients are pre-multiplied by 100 and interpretable as percentage point changes. The units of observation are municipality×year of birth×treatment status-cells. We weight regressions with the number of observations in each cell. We cluster all standard errors at the municipal level. \*\*\*significant at the 1 pct level, \*\*significant at the 5 pct level \*significant at the 10 pct level

## **B** Additional results

Figure B.1: Average number of live births in Danish counties for the cohorts 1930-1949



*Notes:* The figure displays average number of live births in urban and rural areas of Danish counties (47 areas).



Figure B.2: Density of municipalities over the propensity score

*Notes:* The figure displays the density of municipalities across the propensity score estimated with psmatch2. Nearest neighbour matching without replacement and a caliper of 0.05 results in 202 matched treated municipalities. Untreated corresponds to never-implementing municipalities.

Figure B.3: Propensity score for matched municipalities and their year of treatment initiation



Figure B.4: 1936 IMR for ever-implementing municipalities and their year of treatment initiation, 1937-1949



*Notes:* The IMR is measured at a more aggregated level (medical districts), resulting in overlapping data points for all municipalities in the same district.

Figure B.5: Event study for effect of the home visiting program on education and labor market outcomes



Notes: Time to treatment in years for the unbalanced sample of all eventually treated municipalities (with non-missing data on controls, i.e. the sample used in the main estimations). Models include indicators for five years for both before and after treatment initiation, as well as indicators for more than five years before and after treatment initiation, year fixed effects and municipality fixed effects. Figures that also include municipality-specific trends or controls interacted with year fixed effects are very similar. The omitted indicator for event time is t=-1. The figure displays coefficients and a 95 percent confidence interval.

	Ln(Live births)	Ln(Live births)
WW II indicator	$0.139^{***}$ (0.024)	0.005 (0.010)
WW II $\times$ Urban	× ,	0.262*** (0.026)
Mean of dep. var. No. of obs.	7.055 $904$	$\begin{array}{c} 7.055\\904 \end{array}$
$County \times urban FE$	Yes	Yes

Table B.1: The impact of German occupation during World War II on the number of Danish live births, 1930–1949.

*Notes: WWII* is an indicator for the German occupation during WWII (1940-1945). We control for urban/rural area fixed affects. We include the cohorts 1930-1949. We cluster all standard errors at the urban/rural areas in counties level (47 clusters). \*\*\*significant at the 1 pct level, \*\*significant at the 5 pct level \*significant at the 10 pct level

Table B.2: Parish-municipality match for individuals of the cohorts 1935–1949.

	N f h.	D
Place of birth	No. of oos	Percent
Other countries	$71,\!868$	6.42
Greenland	1,933	0.17
Unknown in DK	$2,\!197$	0.20
Unvalid codes	$1,\!141$	0.10
Post-1970 codes	$54,\!613$	4.88
County codes	$2,\!978$	0.27
Other religious groups	$1,\!957$	0.17
Catholic church registration	59	0.01
Missing	$2,\!559$	0.23
Valid parish code	979792	87.55
Total	1,119,097	100.00

Source: Authors' calculations from administrative data.

Outcome	(All)
Infection	0.017
Cancer	0.414
Other cancer	0.007
Blood and bloodforming organs	0.004
Endocrine, metabolic disease	0.046
Mental disorders	0.082
Nervous system	0.029
Heart disease	0.183
Other cardiovascular disease	0.098
Respiratory disease	0.089
Digestive system	0.097
Skin, musculosceletal system, connecting tissue	0.010
Genitourinary system	0.006
Pregnancy and childbirth	0.000
Perinatal period	0.000
Congenital disease	0.005
Symptoms not elsewhere classified	0.039
Accidents	0.025
Suicide, murder, legal interventions	0.024
Missing cause of death	0.072
No. of obs.	110938

Table B.3: Causes of death among all observed deaths for individuals aged45-64.

*Notes*: Means based on all individuals in our sample with a death age 45-64. We include both first and second cause of death. The "missing cause" is only for deaths with missing in the first registered cause of death.

Table B.4: Scaled coefficients for the effect of the home visiting program on adulthood health outcomes, alternative trend specifications, cohorts 1935–1949.

Outcome	(1)(All)	(2) (All)	(3) (All)
Survival until age 50	$0.064 \\ (0.063)$	$0.087 \\ (0.078)$	$0.132 \\ (0.129)$
Mean of dep. var. $\times$ 100 No. of obs.	$98.342 \\ 20078$	$98.342 \\ 20078$	$98.342 \\ 20078$
Survival until age 55	$0.228^{**}$ (0.091)	$0.264^{**}$ (0.119)	$0.293^{*}$ (0.155)
Mean of dep. var. $\times$ 100 No. of obs.	$95.880 \\ 20078$	$95.880 \\ 20078$	$95.880 \\ 20078$
Survival until age 60	$\begin{array}{c} 0.337^{***} \\ (0.123) \end{array}$	$0.260^{*}$ (0.140)	0.244 (0.201)
Mean of dep. var. $\times$ 100 No. of obs.	$92.432 \\ 20078$	$92.432 \\ 20078$	$92.432 \\ 20078$
Survival until age 64	$0.382^{**}$ (0.155)	0.257 (0.178)	$0.109 \\ (0.244)$
Mean of dep. var. $\times$ 100 No. of obs.	$88.756 \\ 20078$	$88.756 \\ 20078$	$88.756 \\ 20078$
Diagnosed cardio	$-0.737^{***}$ (0.207)	$-0.529^{**}$ (0.253)	-0.387 (0.361)
Mean of dep. var. $\times$ 100 No. of obs.	26.653 20078	26.653 20078	$26.653 \\ 20078$
Diagnosed heart	-0.199 (0.140)	$-0.275^{*}$ (0.161)	-0.242 (0.214)
Mean of dep. var. $\times$ 100 No. of obs.	$8.293 \\ 20078$	$8.293 \\ 20078$	$8.293 \\ 20078$
Cohort FE Muncipal:	Yes	Yes	Yes
FE Linear trends	Yes No	Yes Yes	Yes Yes
Quadratic trends	No	No	Yes

Notes: Each cell presents the coefficient for the treatment indicator for a different regression. All means and coefficients are pre-multiplied by 100 and interpretable as percentage point changes. The units of observation are municipality×year of birth×treatment status-cells. We weight regressions with the number of observations in each cell. We cluster all standard errors at the municipal level. \*\*\*significant at the 1 pct level, \*\*significant at the 5 pct level \*significant at the 10 pct level

Outcome	(1) (All)	(2) (All)	(3) (All)	(4) (Matched)	(5) (Ever impl.)
Males					
Survival until age 50	$0.018 \\ (0.087)$	-0.006 (0.111)	$0.071 \\ (0.127)$	-0.126 (0.255)	$0.057 \\ (0.154)$
Mean of dep. var. No. of obs.	$98.048 \\ 19933$	$98.048 \\ 19933$	$98.048 \\ 19933$	$98.066 \\ 6142$	$97.890 \\ 5731$
Survival until age 55	$0.232^{*}$ (0.127)	0.264 (0.172)	$0.256 \\ (0.185)$	$0.214 \\ (0.407)$	0.248 (0.223)
Mean of dep. var. No. of obs.	$95.151 \\ 19933$	$95.151 \\ 19933$	$95.151 \\ 19933$	$95.210 \\ 6142$	$94.797 \\ 5731$
Survival until age 60	$0.460^{***}$ (0.172)	$0.305 \\ (0.213)$	$0.481^{*}$ (0.247)	$0.285 \\ (0.582)$	$0.462 \\ (0.301)$
Mean of dep. var. No. of obs.	$91.075 \\ 19933$	$91.075 \\ 19933$	$91.075 \\ 19933$	$91.157 \\ 6142$	$90.476 \\ 5731$
Survival until age 64	$\begin{array}{c} 0.624^{***} \\ (0.215) \end{array}$	0.408 (0.282)	$0.509^{*}$ (0.284)	0.287 (0.653)	0.463 (0.343)
Mean of dep. var. No. of obs.	$86.708 \\ 19933$	$86.708 \\ 19933$	$86.708 \\ 19933$	$86.673 \\ 6142$	$85.889 \\ 5731$
Females					
Survival until age 50	$0.118 \\ (0.073)$	$0.179^{**}$ (0.090)	$\begin{array}{c} 0.273^{***} \\ (0.105) \end{array}$	$0.306 \\ (0.228)$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.352^{***} \\ (0.128) \end{array}$
Mean of dep. var. No. of obs.	$98.648 \\ 19910$	$98.648 \\ 19910$	$98.648 \\ 19910$	$98.696 \\ 6129$	$98.559 \\ 5712$
Survival until age 55	$0.229^{**}$ (0.115)	$0.288^{**}$ (0.139)	$0.376^{**}$ (0.150)	0.414 (0.343)	$0.437^{**}$ (0.187)
Mean of dep. var. No. of obs.	$96.634 \\ 19910$	$96.634 \\ 19910$	$96.634 \\ 19910$	$96.656 \\ 6129$	$96.456 \\ 5712$
Survival until age 60	0.211 (0.162)	0.253 (0.187)	$0.455^{**}$ (0.222)	$0.883^{**}$ (0.435)	$0.497^{*}$ (0.264)
Mean of dep. var. No. of obs.	$93.837 \\ 19910$	$93.837 \\ 19910$	$93.837 \\ 19910$	$93.948 \\ 6129$	$93.516 \\ 5712$
Survival until age 64	0.131 (0.194)	0.160 (0.226)	0.391 (0.267)	$0.936^{*}$ (0.501)	0.323 (0.313)
Mean of dep. var. No. of obs.	90.879 19910	90.879 19910	90.879 19910	$90.961 \\ 6129$	$90.441 \\ 5712$
Cohort FE Muncipal:	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
FE X (level) $\times$ year interactions X (trend) $\times$ year interactions	Yes No No	Yes No No	Yes Yes Yes	Yes No No	Yes Yes Yes
Linear time trends	No	Yes	No	No	No

Table B.5: Effect of the home visiting program on survival beyond age 64, women and men of the cohorts 1935–1949.

*Notes:* Each cell presents the coefficient for the treatment indicator for a different regression. All means and coefficients are pre-multiplied by 100 and interpretable as percentage point changes. The units of observation are municipality  $\times$  year of birth  $\times$  treatment status-cells. We weight regressions with the number of observations in each cell. We cluster all standard errors at the municipal level. \*\*\*significant at the 1 pct level, \*\*significant at the 5 pct level \*significant at the 10 pct level

Outcome	(1) (All)	(2) (All)	(3) (All)	(4) (Matched)	(5) (Ever impl.)
Males					
Hospital nights, Age 45-64	$-0.688^{**}$ (0.327)	-0.371 (0.414)	-0.572 (0.411)	-0.758 (0.691)	-0.417 (0.492)
Mean of dep. var. No. of obs.	$17.116 \\ 19933$	$17.116 \\ 19933$	$17.116 \\ 19933$	$16.957 \\ 6142$	$17.922 \\ 5731$
Diagnosed cardio	$-0.994^{***}$ (0.306)	-0.577 (0.392)	$-0.936^{**}$ (0.438)	$0.485 \\ (0.879)$	-0.648 (0.549)
Mean of dep. var. No. of obs.	29.442 19933	$29.442 \\ 19933$	$29.442 \\ 19933$	$29.695 \\ 6142$	$29.343 \\ 5731$
Diagnosed heart	-0.339 (0.216)	-0.329 (0.279)	$-0.539^{*}$ (0.280)	$0.666 \\ (0.592)$	-0.498 (0.344)
Mean of dep. var. No. of obs.	$11.294 \\ 19933$	$\frac{11.294}{19933}$	$\frac{11.294}{19933}$	$\begin{array}{c} 11.654 \\ 6142 \end{array}$	11.327 5731
Diagnosed diabetes	-0.057 (0.148)	-0.157 (0.197)	$0.010 \\ (0.211)$	-0.465 (0.459)	$0.238 \\ (0.257)$
Mean of dep. var. No. of obs.	$6.309 \\ 19933$	$6.309 \\ 19933$	$6.309 \\ 19933$	$\begin{array}{c} 6.125\\ 6142 \end{array}$	$6.875 \\ 5731$
Diagnosed cancer	$0.104 \\ (0.229)$	$0.122 \\ (0.291)$	$0.102 \\ (0.274)$	-0.071 (0.600)	-0.103 (0.335)
Mean of dep. var. No. of obs.	10.288 19933	$10.288 \\ 19933$	$10.288 \\ 19933$	$\begin{array}{c} 10.163 \\ 6142 \end{array}$	$10.831 \\ 5731$
Females					
Hospital nights, Age 45-64	$-0.461^{*}$ (0.262)	$-0.798^{***}$ (0.304)	-0.399 (0.377)	$-1.695^{**}$ (0.703)	-0.089 (0.441)
Mean of dep. var. No. of obs.	$16.916 \\ 19910$	$16.916 \\ 19910$	$16.916 \\ 19910$	$16.632 \\ 6129$	$17.382 \\ 5712$
Diagnosed cardio	-0.452 (0.281)	-0.511 (0.381)	-0.427 (0.368)	-1.011 (0.793)	$-0.849^{*}$ (0.442)
Mean of dep. var. No. of obs.	$23.764 \\ 19910$	$23.764 \\ 19910$	$23.764 \\ 19910$	$23.864 \\ 6129$	$22.823 \\ 5712$
Diagnosed heart	-0.051 (0.145)	-0.254 (0.175)	-0.266 (0.216)	-0.601 (0.404)	$-0.449^{*}$ (0.266)
Mean of dep. var. No. of obs.	$5.184 \\ 19910$	$5.184 \\ 19910$	$5.184 \\ 19910$	$5.242 \\ 6129$	$5.194 \\ 5712$
Diagnosed diabetes	0.008 (0.110)	-0.215 (0.153)	$0.037 \\ (0.163)$	-0.093 (0.372)	-0.122 (0.195)
Mean of dep. var. No. of obs.	$3.749 \\ 19910$	$3.749 \\ 19910$	$3.749 \\ 19910$	$3.745 \\ 6129$	$3.918 \\ 5712$
Diagnosed cancer	-0.052 (0.220)	0.017 (0.280)	$0.001 \\ (0.305)$	-0.755 (0.623)	$0.374 \\ (0.356)$
Mean of dep. var. No. of obs.	$13.276 \\ 19910$	$13.276 \\ 19910$	$13.276 \\ 19910$	$12.977 \\ 6129$	$13.844 \\ 5712$
Cohort FE Muncipal:	ұф	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
FE X (level) × year interactions X (trend) × year interactions Linear time trends	Yes No No	Yes No Yes	Yes Yes Yes No	Yes No No	Yes Yes Yes No

Table B.6: Effect of the home visiting program on diagnoses and hospital nights, women and men of the cohorts 1935–1949.

*Notes:* See Notes for Appendix Table B.5. All means and coefficients (except for hospital nights) are pre-multiplied by 100 and interpretable as percentage point changes. \*\*\*significant at the 1 pct level, \*\*significant at the 5 pct level \*significant at the 10 pct level

Outcome	(1) (All)		(3) (All)	(4) (Matched)	(5) (Ever impl.)
Cause of death: Cardio	-0.218** (0.106)	-0.070 (0.111)	-0.150 (0.116)	$0.188 \\ (0.211)$	-0.151 (0.139)
Mean of dep. var. $\times$ 100 No. of obs.	$\begin{array}{c} 3.264 \\ 20078 \end{array}$	$3.264 \\ 20078$	$3.264 \\ 20078$	$\begin{array}{c} 3.328\\ 6204 \end{array}$	$3.368 \\ 5769$
Cause of death: Cancer	$-0.177^{*}$ (0.104)	-0.028 (0.138)	$0.020 \\ (0.143)$	-0.345 (0.279)	0.094 (0.172)
Mean of dep. var. $\times$ 100 No. of obs.	$5.165 \\ 20078$	$5.165 \\ 20078$	$5.165 \\ 20078$	$5.237 \\ 6204$	$5.324 \\ 5769$
Cause of death: Respiratory system	-0.037 (0.067)	$0.003 \\ (0.075)$	-0.055 $(0.079)$	-0.162 (0.150)	-0.101 (0.089)
Mean of dep. var. $\times$ 100 No. of obs.	$1.099 \\ 20078$	$1.099 \\ 20078$	$1.099 \\ 20078$	$1.125 \\ 6204$	$1.141 \\ 5769$
Cause of death: Digestive system	-0.088 (0.060)	0.001 (0.066)	-0.072 (0.075)	-0.101 (0.136)	-0.064 (0.093)
Mean of dep. var. $\times$ 100 No. of obs.	$1.197 \\ 20078$	$1.197 \\ 20078$	$1.197 \\ 20078$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.120 \\ 6204 \end{array}$	$1.397 \\ 5769$
Missing cause of death	-0.049 (0.049)	-0.079 (0.056)	-0.024 (0.061)	-0.039 (0.152)	$0.035 \\ (0.066)$
Mean of dep. var. $\times$ 100 No. of obs.	$0.886 \\ 20078$	$0.886 \\ 20078$	$0.886 \\ 20078$	$0.887 \\ 6204$	$0.944 \\ 5769$
Cohort FE <i>Muncipal:</i>	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
FE	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
X (level) $\times$ year interactions	No	No	Yes	No	Yes
X (trend) $\times$ year interactions	No	No	Yes	No	Yes
Linear time trends	No	Yes	No	No	No

Table B.7: Scaled coefficients for the effect of the home visiting program on cause-specific mortality, cohorts 1935–1949.

See Notes for Table B.5. \*\*\*significant at the 1 pct level, \*\*significant at the 5 pct level \*significant at the 10 pct level

Outcome	(1) (All)	(2) (All)	(3) (All)	(4) (Matched)	(5) (Ever impl.)
Yrs. School	$-0.229^{***}$ (0.027)	0.031 (0.032)	-0.037 (0.024)	-0.018 (0.063)	-0.038 (0.030)
Mean of dep. var. No. of obs.	$\frac{11.282}{20061}$	$11.282 \\ 20061$	$11.282 \\ 20061$	$\begin{array}{c} 10.880\\ 6195 \end{array}$	$11.811 \\ 5766$
Basic Ed.	$\begin{array}{c} 4.040^{***} \\ (0.317) \end{array}$	-0.335 (0.407)	$0.775^{**}$ (0.322)	$\begin{array}{c} 0.335 \\ (0.889) \end{array}$	$0.744^{*}$ (0.402)
Mean of dep. var. $\times$ 100 No. of obs.	$38.693 \\ 20063$	$38.693 \\ 20063$	$38.693 \\ 20063$	$\begin{array}{c} 43.494\\ 6197\end{array}$	$31.917 \\ 5767$
Log Wage Inc	-0.021** (0.010)	$0.005 \\ (0.013)$	0.009 (0.014)	0.009 (0.027)	0.009 (0.016)
Mean of dep. var. No. of obs.	$12.027 \\ 20017$	$12.027 \\ 20017$	$12.027 \\ 20017$	$\begin{array}{c} 11.984\\ 6174 \end{array}$	$12.077 \\ 5748$
Blue Collar Occu	$ \begin{array}{c} 1.783^{***} \\ (0.352) \end{array} $	0.128 (0.376)	$\begin{array}{c} 0.339 \\ (0.398) \end{array}$	0.551 (0.831)	$0.456 \\ (0.509)$
Mean of dep. var. $\times$ 100 No. of obs.	$47.697 \\ 20036$	$47.697 \\ 20036$	$47.697 \\ 20036$	$\begin{array}{c} 51.568\\ 6188\end{array}$	$42.714 \\ 5761$
Cohort FE Muncipal:	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
FE	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
X (level) $\times$ year interactions	No	No	Yes	No	Yes
X (trend) $\times$ year interactions	No	No	Yes	No	Yes
Linear time trends	No	Yes	No	No	No

Table B.8: Effect of the home visiting program on socio-economic and education outcomes measured at age 60, cohorts 1935–1949.

See Notes for Table B.5. \*\*\* significant at the 1 pct level, \*\* significant at the 5 pct level \*significant at the 10 pct level