Interim Strategy-Proof Mechanisms: Designing Simple Mechanisms in Complex Environments

Tangren Feng¹ Qinggong Wu ²

¹Bocconi

²HKUST

AEA, January 6, 2023

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ●の00

Simple mechanism: each agent has one strategy that is optimal regardless of others' strategies

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ 三三 - のへぐ

Simple mechanism: each agent has one strategy that is optimal regardless of others' strategies

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ 三三 - のへぐ

2nd price auction, VCG, majority voting...

Simple mechanism: each agent has one strategy that is optimal regardless of others' strategies

2nd price auction, VCG, majority voting...

Complex environment: one agent's preferences may depend on others' private info; informational externalities

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ●の00

Simple mechanism: each agent has one strategy that is optimal regardless of others' strategies

2nd price auction, VCG, majority voting...

Complex environment: one agent's preferences may depend on others' private info; informational externalities

job market, school choice, drilling right auction ...

Interim strategy-proof (ISP)/ dominant strategy mechanisms with interdependent values.

ISP Example 0: single unit auction

- 1 seller with single unit of good; 2 buyers
- ▶ Buyer *i*'s type: $\theta_i \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} U[0,1]$
- Buyer *i*'s valuation: $v_i(\theta) = \theta_i$
- Buyer *i*'s payoff: $q_i \theta_i \tau_i$
 - q_i : the probability *i* gets the good

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

 \blacktriangleright τ_i : the transfer *i* pays

ISP Example 0: single unit auction

- 1 seller with single unit of good; 2 buyers
- ▶ Buyer *i*'s type: $\theta_i \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} U[0,1]$
- Buyer *i*'s valuation: $v_i(\theta) = \theta_i$
- **b** Buyer *i*'s payoff: $q_i \theta_i \tau_i$
 - q_i : the probability *i* gets the good
 - \blacktriangleright τ_i : the transfer *i* pays
- Bidding in 2nd-price auctions is simple: just bid the true value

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □▶ □ のへぐ

- 1 seller with single unit of good; 2 buyers
- Buyer *i*'s type: $\theta_i \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} U[0,1]$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ 三 のへぐ

- 1 seller with single unit of good; 2 buyers
- ▶ Buyer *i*'s type: $\theta_i \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} U[0,1]$
- Buyer *i*'s ex post valuation: $v_i(\theta) = \theta_i + \beta(\theta_j \frac{1}{2})$

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

- 1 seller with single unit of good; 2 buyers
- ▶ Buyer *i*'s type: $\theta_i \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} U[0,1]$
- Buyer *i*'s ex post valuation: $v_i(\theta) = \theta_i + \beta(\theta_j \frac{1}{2})$
 - $\beta > 0$: informational externality

- 1 seller with single unit of good; 2 buyers
- ► Buyer *i*'s type: $\theta_i \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} U[0,1]$
- Buyer *i*'s ex post valuation: $v_i(\theta) = \theta_i + \beta(\theta_j \frac{1}{2})$
 - $\beta > 0$: informational externality
 - Buyer *i*'s interim valuation: $E[v_i|\theta_i] = \theta_i$
- Buyer *i*'s payoff: $q_i v_i(\theta) \tau_i$

- 1 seller with single unit of good; 2 buyers
- ► Buyer *i*'s type: $\theta_i \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} U[0,1]$
- Buyer *i*'s ex post valuation: $v_i(\theta) = \theta_i + \beta(\theta_j \frac{1}{2})$
 - $\beta > 0$: informational externality
 - Buyer *i*'s interim valuation: $E[v_i|\theta_i] = \theta_i$
- Buyer *i*'s payoff: $q_i v_i(\theta) \tau_i$

Bidding in 2nd-price auctions is NOT simple anymore!

A D N A 目 N A E N A E N A B N A C N

- 1 seller with single unit of good; 2 buyers
- ► Buyer *i*'s type: $\theta_i \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} U[0,1]$
- Buyer *i*'s ex post valuation: $v_i(\theta) = \theta_i + \beta(\theta_j \frac{1}{2})$
 - $\beta > 0$: informational externality
 - Buyer *i*'s interim valuation: $E[v_i|\theta_i] = \theta_i$

• Buyer *i*'s payoff:
$$q_i v_i(\theta) - \tau_i$$

Bidding in 2nd-price auctions is NOT simple anymore!

• Suppose $\theta_1 = \frac{1}{2}$. Consider the following b_2

$$b_2(\theta_2) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \theta_2 \ge \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2} - \epsilon & \text{if } \theta_2 < \frac{1}{2} \end{cases}$$

- 1 seller with single unit of good; 2 buyers
- Buyer *i*'s type: $\theta_i \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} U[0,1]$
- Buyer *i*'s ex post valuation: $v_i(\theta) = \theta_i + \beta(\theta_j \frac{1}{2})$
 - $\beta > 0$: informational externality
 - Buyer *i*'s interim valuation: $E[v_i|\theta_i] = \theta_i$

• Buyer *i*'s payoff:
$$q_i v_i(\theta) - \tau_i$$

Bidding in 2nd-price auctions is NOT simple anymore!

• Suppose $\theta_1 = \frac{1}{2}$. Consider the following b_2

$$b_2(\theta_2) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \theta_2 \ge \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2} - \epsilon & \text{if } \theta_2 < \frac{1}{2} \end{cases}$$

• The expected payoff of bidding $\frac{1}{2}$ is negative.



Question: Does there exist any ISP mechanisms?



Consider the following mechanism:

$$q_i^*(b_1, b_2) = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{b_i - b_j}{2}$$
,

Consider the following mechanism:

$$q_i^*(b_1, b_2) = rac{1}{2} + rac{b_i - b_j}{2},$$

 $au_i^*(b_1, b_2) = rac{b_i^2}{4}.$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ 三三 - のへぐ

Consider the following mechanism:

(ロ)、(型)、(E)、(E)、 E) の(()

Consider the following mechanism:

$$q_i^*(b_1,b_2) = rac{1}{2} + rac{b_i - b_j}{2},$$
 $au_i^*(b_1,b_2) = rac{b_i^2}{4}.$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ 三三 - のへぐ

• Benefit: Extra winning
$$\mathbb{P}: \frac{\Delta}{2}$$

Consider the following mechanism:

$$q_i^*(b_1,b_2) = rac{1}{2} + rac{b_i - b_j}{2},$$
 $au_i^*(b_1,b_2) = rac{b_i^2}{4}.$

(ロ)、(型)、(E)、(E)、 E) の(()

• Benefit:
$$\frac{\Delta}{2}\theta_i$$

Consider the following mechanism:

$$q_i^*(b_1,b_2) = rac{1}{2} + rac{b_i - b_j}{2},$$
 $au_i^*(b_1,b_2) = rac{b_i^2}{4}.$

 $\frac{\Delta}{2}\theta_i$

• Benefit:
• Cost:
$$\frac{(\theta_i + \Delta)^2}{4} - \frac{\theta_i^2}{4}$$

Consider the following mechanism:

$$q_i^*(b_1,b_2) = rac{1}{2} + rac{b_i - b_j}{2},$$
 $au_i^*(b_1,b_2) = rac{b_i^2}{4}.$

(ロ)、(型)、(E)、(E)、 E) の(()

► Benefit:
$$\frac{\Delta}{2}\theta_i$$

► Cost: $\frac{\Delta^2}{4} + \frac{\Delta}{2}\theta_i$

Consider the following mechanism:

$$q_i^*(b_1,b_2) = rac{1}{2} + rac{b_i - b_j}{2},$$
 $au_i^*(b_1,b_2) = rac{b_i^2}{4}.$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ □臣 ○のへ⊙

Suppose *i* has type θ_i . How about overbid by Δ ?

► Benefit:
$$\frac{\Delta}{2}\theta_i$$

► Cost: $\frac{\Delta^2}{4} + \frac{\Delta}{2}\theta_i$

Overbidding is dominated!

Consider the following mechanism:

$$q_i^*(b_1,b_2) = rac{1}{2} + rac{b_i - b_j}{2},$$
 $au_i^*(b_1,b_2) = rac{b_i^2}{4}.$

(ロ)、(型)、(E)、(E)、 E) の(()

Suppose *i* has type θ_i . How about overbid by Δ ?

► Benefit:
$$\frac{\Delta}{2}\theta_i$$

► Cost: $\frac{\Delta^2}{4} + \frac{\Delta}{2}\theta_i$

Overbidding is dominated! So is underbidding.

Question 1: Any other ISP mechanisms?

Question 2: Why is (q^*, τ^*) ISP while 2nd price auction isn't?



Question 1: Any other ISP mechanisms?

Question 1: Any other ISP mechanisms?

Answer 1: Yes, many more...

$$\hat{q}_i(b_1,b_2) = rac{1}{2} + rac{b_i^2 - b_j^2}{2},$$
 $\hat{\tau}_i(b_1,b_2) = rac{b_i^3}{6} + c_i.$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ 三三 - のへぐ

Question 1: Any other ISP mechanisms?

Answer 1: Yes, many more...

$$\hat{q}_i(b_1, b_2) = rac{1}{2} + rac{b_i^2 - b_j^2}{2},$$

 $\hat{\tau}_i(b_1, b_2) = rac{b_i^3}{6} + c_i.$

In general,

$$q_i(b_1, b_2) = f_i(b_i) - g_i(b_j),$$

$$\tau_i(b_1, b_2) = \int_0^{b_i} f_i(x) dx + c_i,$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ 三三 - のへぐ

where f_i and g_i are increasing functions.

Question 2: Why is (q^*, τ^*) ISP but 2nd price auction isn't?

Answer 2: Let's compare them...

A Comparison

Mechanism: 2nd price auction

$$q_i^{2nd}(b_i, b_j) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } b_i > b_j \\ 0 & \text{if } b_i \le b_j \end{cases}$$
$$\frac{\partial q_i^{2nd}}{\partial b_i} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } b_i \ne b_j \\ \infty & \text{if } b_i = b_j \end{cases}.$$

Depends on b_i .

Extreme strategic externality.

Private value environment: $v_i(\theta) = \theta_i$ No informational externality. Mechanism: (q^*, t^*)

$$q_i^*(b_1, b_2) = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{b_i - b_j}{2}$$
$$\frac{\partial q_i^*}{\partial b_i} = \frac{1}{2}$$

Independent of b_j .

No strategic externality.

Interdependent value environment: $v_i(\theta) = \theta_i + \beta(\theta_j - \frac{1}{2})$ Some informational externality.

Beyond the Example?

The toy example.

- 1 seller with single unit of good; 2 buyers
- Buyer *i*'s type: $\theta_i \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} U[0,1]$
- Buyer *i*'s ex post valuation: $v_i(\theta) = \theta_i + \beta(\theta_j - \frac{1}{2})$

 (q^*,τ^*) ISP.

Beyond the Example?

The toy example.

- 1 seller with single unit of good; 2 buyers
- Buyer *i*'s type: $\theta_i \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} U[0,1]$
- Buyer *i*'s ex post valuation: $v_i(\theta) = \theta_i + \beta(\theta_j - \frac{1}{2})$

 (q^*,τ^*) ISP.

The general model.

- 1 seller with single unit of good; N buyers
- Any type distribution
- Buyer i's ex post valuation:
 v_i(θ)

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ● ●

Goal: All ISP mechanisms.

Theorem Under some regularity conditions,

 $M + PE + AS \Leftrightarrow ISP$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ 三 のへぐ

where

M=Monotonicity

PE=Payoff Equivalence

AS=Additive Separability

Theorem Under some regularity conditions,

 $M + PE + AS \Leftrightarrow ISP$

▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ● の Q @

where

M=*Monotonicity*, $q_i(t_i, t_{-i})$ is increasing in t_i ;

PE=Payoff Equivalence

AS=Additive Separability

Theorem Under some regularity conditions,

 $M + PE + AS \Leftrightarrow ISP$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQで

where

M=Monotonicity, $q_i(t_i, t_{-i})$ is increasing in t_i ; *PE*=Payoff Equivalence, $\tau_i(t_i, t_{-i}) = \int_{\underline{t}_i}^{t_i} q_i(x, t_{-i}) dx + c_i$; *AS*=Additive Separability

Theorem Under some regularity conditions,

 $M + PE + AS \Leftrightarrow ISP$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ● ●

where

$$\begin{split} & \textit{M} = \textit{Monotonicity, } q_i(t_i, t_{-i}) \text{ is increasing in } t_i; \\ & \textit{PE} = \textit{Payoff Equivalence, } \tau_i(t_i, t_{-i}) = \int_{t_i}^{t_i} q_i(x, t_{-i}) dx + c_i; \\ & \textit{AS} = \textit{Additive Separability, } q_i(t_i, t_{-i}) = f_i(t_i) - g_i(t_{-i}). \end{split}$$

Beyond Auctions

>

What are the optimal ISP auctions that...

- maximizes revenue
- maximizes efficiency

- What are ISP mechanisms in
 - bilateral trade, public goods provision

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

- collective decision without money
- some other restricted domains

General theory on ISP mechanisms?

Why ISP?

ISP is desirable:

- better prediction
- outcome doesn't depend much on agents' cognitive abilities

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

- ► fair
- prevents waste from espionage
- helps agents to avoid strategic mistakes
- generates better information about true preferences